	Case 2:20-cv-00014-JAM-DB Documen	t 13 Filed 07/23/20 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ROWAN CROSBY BROOKS, Jr.,	No. 2:20-cv-0014 DB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND
14	ZUNIGA,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights	
18	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On May 5, 2020, plaintiff's first amended complaint was	
19	screened and found to be devoid of a cognizable claim. Plaintiff was then directed to file a notice	
20	as to whether he wished to stand on his complaint, to dismiss this action, or to file an amended	
21	complaint. The time for filing this notice has now passed, and plaintiff has not responded to the	
22	Court's order or requested an extension of time to do so.	
23	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a district judge be assigned to this case; and	
24	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. <u>See</u>	
25	Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
26	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
27	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
28	after being served with these findings and reco	ommendations, plaintiff may file written objections
		1

with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: July 22, 2020 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE /DLB7; DB/Inbox/Routine/broo0014.fr dism

Case 2:20-cv-00014-JAM-DB Document 13 Filed 07/23/20 Page 2 of 2